

COMMISSION TO INQUIRE INTO CHILD ABUSE

HELD AT 145-151 CHURCH STREET, DUBLIN
ON TUESDAY, 29TH JUNE 2004 - DAY 5

BEFORE

MR. JUSTICE SEÁN RYAN

CHAIRPERSON OF THE INQUIRY

ORDINARY MEMBERS:

DR. IMELDA RYAN, Consultant Child and Adolescent
Psychiatrist
MR. FRED LOWE, Principal Child Psychologist

5

I hereby certify the
following to be a true
and accurate transcript
of my shorthand notes in
the above hearing.

MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION PRESENT

REGISTRAR TO INVESTIGATION COMMITTEE: MR. BRENDAN REIDY

COUNSEL FOR THE COMMISSION: MR. NOEL McMAHON SC
MR. FRANK CLARKE SC
MS. KAREN FERGUS BL

Instructed by: MS. FEENA ROBINSON

For DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

MR. DAVID DOYLE MR. B. O' MOORE SC
MS. A. POWER BL

Instructed by: MR. P. SPILLANE
COMMISSION UNIT
4TH FLOOR
5 - 9 SOUTH FREDERICK ST.
DUBLIN

COPYRIGHT: Transcripts are the work of Gwen Malone Stenography Services and they must not be photocopied or reproduced in any manner or supplied or loaned by an appellant to a respondent or to BYy other party without written permission of Gwen Malone Stenography Services.

I N D E X

W I T N E S S

P A G E S

MR DAVI D DOYLE

DI RECT - MR McMAHON

4 - 34

1 THE HEARING RESUMED, AS FOLLOWS, ON TUESDAY, 29TH
2 JUNE 2004:

3
4
5 CHAIRMAN: Good morning.

6 MR. McMAHON: Good morning. I think that
7 our next witness is in fact
8 in the consulting room just outside the door. They
9 are here and I presume will be here within a matter
10 of seconds. Ms. Fergus has gone out to tell them.

11 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Just
12 while we are waiting for
13 them, Mr. McMahon, can you tell us what is the
14 programme for tomorrow?

15 MR. McMAHON: Tomorrow we will have the
16 evidence from the
17 Department of Justice in the morning.

18 CHAIRMAN: Very good.

19 MR. McMAHON: The intention then is to
20 begin the evidence of the
21 religious congregations.

22 CHAIRMAN: In the morning or in the
23 afternoon?

24 MR. McMAHON: That will begin in the
25 afternoon.

26 CHAIRMAN: Very good. The Commission
27 will be sitting morning and
28 afternoon tomorrow. Very good. Thank you very much.
29 I think we have everybody assembled now, Mr. McMahon.



1 MR. O' MOORE: Sorry, Chair man.
2 CHAIRMAN: These things happen. There
3 is no probl em.
4 MR. McMAHON: I call Mr. Davi d Doyl e.
5 CHAIRMAN: Very good. Good morni ng,
6 Mr. Doyl e.
7 MR. O' MOORE: There is j ust one matter,
8 Chair man. Mr. Doyl e, I
9 think, woul d prefer to affi rm rather than swear an
10 oath.
11 CHAIRMAN: Of course, no probl em.

12
13
14 MR. DAVID DOYLE, HAVING AFFIRMED, WAS EXAMINED BY
15 MR. McMAHON, AS FOLLOWS:

16
17
18 1 Q. MR. McMAHON: Mr. Doyl e, I think you are
19 here on behal f of the
20 Department of Fi nance to deal with the various
21 questions raised by the Investi gation Commi ttee
22 through its letter from Ms. Robi nson dated 20th May,
23 2004. That letter raises various questi ons which
24 have been set out in some detail al ready last week by
25 Mr. Clarke in hi s opening and I don' t propose goi ng
26 into those questi ons again. I don' t think it is
27 necessary. I think you are aware of what the
28 questi ons were. Perhaps your own posi ti on. You are,
29 I think, Second Secretary in the Department of



1 Finance?

2 A. Yes, that is correct, Mr. McMahon. I have been
3 Second Secretary since April 2001.

4 2 Q. Yes. What can you tell the Investigation Committee,
5 Mr. Doyle, in relation to the Department's
6 involvement? First of all, did it have an
7 involvement in relation to the Taoiseach's apology
8 and in relation to the initial decision to set up the
9 Commission?

10 A. No, Mr. McMahon. My understanding from reviewing the
11 papers, and I had no personal involvement in this but
12 to facilitate the Commission I reviewed the papers.
13 The Government established a Cabinet Subcommittee to
14 review the circumstances surrounding the abuse issue.
15 They set up a Committee of senior public officials,
16 which did not involve either the Minister for Finance
17 or anybody from the Department. That Committee
18 produced a report. It went to the Cabinet
19 subcommittee and that led to a Government policy
20 decision then to make an apology. The Department had
21 no involvement in that.

22 3 Q. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Doyle, does everything
23 that goes to the Cabinet go
24 to the Department of Finance?

25 A. Chairman, we would like to think that it would, but
26 no not necessarily. A Cabinet Subcommittee will be
27 appointed by the Cabinet with particular Terms of
28 Reference.

29 4 Q. CHAIRMAN: I appreciate that. But



1 when something
2 goes... (INTERJECTION)
3 A. When they bring proposals to the Cabinet in 99.9% of
4 cases all documents that the Cabinet receives will go
5 to the Department. There are some instances where
6 documents wouldn't be circulated.
7 5 Q. CHAIRMAN: But we could assume that
8 anything that went to the
9 Cabinet in relation to this would have gone to the
10 Department of Finance?
11 A. That would be a reasonable assumption, yes.
12 6 Q. CHAIRMAN: Because obviously there
13 would be Finance
14 implications to it?
15 A. Yes. The standing instruction for Cabinet
16 documentation is that they are circulated to
17 interested Departments. Each Cabinet Minister in any
18 event gets the documents and usually he will bring
19 them back with him. But not always.
20 7 Q. CHAIRMAN: Of course. So we can take
21 it that these documents,
22 the proposals that led to the apology and the
23 documents that went to the Cabinet meeting deciding
24 that. Now, obviously, we are not inquiring into the
25 confidentiality of the Cabinet meeting, but we can
26 take it that those materials went to Finance?
27 A. The report of the Secretary General's, I have not seen
28 it on the Finance papers. There certainly were
29 documents that went to the Cabinet on foot of it



1 which I did see in Finance, yes.

2 8 Q. CHAIRMAN: When does Finance come into
3 it for the first time in
4 relation to financial implications? Because
5 obviously there were major financial implications in
6 this.

7 A. Yeah. Finance came into it in the context of --
8 well, first of all the Government having made the
9 policy decision to make the apology, to dispense with
10 the Statute of Limitations an issue, to establish the
11 Commission and then going forward to establish the
12 Redress Scheme. Where Finance was really involved
13 with the issue was in relation to the nature of the
14 financial contribution from the congregations towards
15 the cost of the settlements.

16 9 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Now, obviously, as
17 you understand, we are not
18 concerned, somebody else may be, but we are not
19 concerned with the sufficiency of any contribution.
20 We want to ask and propose to ask those who
21 contributed why they contributed. But as to the
22 actual quantum of what they contributed, we don't see
23 it as our function to say look was that enough, or
24 from our point of view was it a good deal or a bad
25 deal. That is none of our affair as we see it.

26
27 Looking from the State's point of view can I ask were
28 there actual assumptions being made by Finance in
29 relation to this liability that the State was taking



1 on? In other words, we know from the Department of
2 Education because we have had the then Minister,
3 Mr. Martin, who has given us evidence about this, and
4 what his approach was to the people who came to speak
5 to him complaining of abuse. I am just wondering
6 were there factual assumptions being made by Finance
7 in relation to that, or as to their liability or the
8 State's liability?

9 A. First of all the Cabinet Ministers concerned directly
10 formed a view. Then the Cabinet itself formed a
11 view. The Taoiseach elucidated that policy decision.
12 When you reach that point the Department of Finance,
13 you know, is no longer in a questioning mode.

14 10 Q. CHAIRMAN: Yes.

15 A. Were there factual assumptions made? When it came to
16 the issue of the scale of the contribution, and I
17 appreciate what you said, you are not going into it,
18 but to the extent that we were making factual
19 assumptions it did relate to the nature of the whole
20 situation over the years, particularly in a
21 retrospective review of the conclusions in the
22 Kennedy Report. We certainly agreed as a Department
23 that the State had a degree of responsibility for
24 what had transpired over the years. Mr. Dennehy,
25 Minister Martin and Mr. Woods have all quoted from
26 the Kennedy Report and I don't think I need to do
27 that again.

28 11 Q. MR. McMAHON: There was mechanical
29 reasons. I think that the



1 Department of Finance was a signatory to the
2 agreement which was ultimately reached and there are
3 mechanical reasons why that was so. Insofar as
4 payments had to be channeled through somebody and
5 that body was the exchequer through the Department of
6 Finance.

7 A. Well, strictly speaking the Education vote is the
8 vehicle. The provisions that are in the votes are
9 negotiated through the Department of Finance,
10 Minister for Finance, the Cabinet. Who then present
11 it to the Dáil and the Dáil make decisions on the
12 allocations. Yes, the Minister for Finance, or more
13 appropriately an official designated on his behalf
14 did sign the indemnity agreement in the sense that
15 traditionally the Minister for Finance is joined as a
16 party to major settlements as the Minister is the
17 Government's banker.

18 12 Q. Yes.

19 A. So in that sense, yes, we were a party to it. The
20 three parties were the congregations, the Minister
21 for Education and the Minister for Finance. The two
22 ministers on behalf of the Government.

23 13 Q. What part did your Department have to play in the
24 implementation of the agreement?

25 A. We are talking about the indemnity agreement now?

26 14 Q. Yes.

27 A. A fairly limited part in the sense that the
28 Department of Education have responsibility for
29 ensuring that the contribution as determined in that



1 agreement is secured. There was some contact between
2 the Department of Finance and the Department of
3 Education in relation to the nature of some of the
4 contributions, and the terms in which they might be
5 accepted in relation to property and so on.

6 15 Q. Yes, but what was the Department of Finance's
7 involvement? If you could explain just the mechanics
8 of how the agreement worked, and how the money came
9 in and came out? Well, it was envisaged that it
10 would come in and come out?

11 A. There are two elements, as I recall. There was a
12 specific cash contribution towards specific services.

13 16 Q. Yes.

14 A. Counselling. Technically that money came into the
15 Central Fund and it was issued back then to the
16 Department of Education. That is my understanding of
17 it. I am not totally familiar with the actual
18 mechanics. I can let you have a note outlining that
19 in precise detail. But broadly that was a specific
20 contribution. The more general cash and property
21 contributions came directly to the Department of
22 Education. I can let you, Chairman, if it is okay,
23 let you have a note of the precise mechanics.

24 CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much.

25 17 Q. MR. McMAHON: You have said that in
26 relation to the question as
27 to why the State contributed to the Fund, you have
28 mentioned the various policy considerations were
29 involved. What can you tell the Committee was the



1 Department of Finance's -- where did the Department
2 of Finance learn of those policy considerations?
3 From where were they driven from Finance's point of
4 view?

5 A. Well, you are relying in this context, Mr. McMahon,
6 on my extracts from my statement to the Public
7 Accounts Committee.

8 18 Q. No, I am relying on the statement which was furnished
9 to the Investigation Committee, which quoted from
10 those extracts?

11 A. Yes. I am just explaining the origin of that
12 documents. That particular investigation was under
13 way on behalf of Parliament into the nature of the
14 scheme, and the committee was questioning the nature
15 of the cost, the nature of the indemnity, the
16 policies concerned. Before that forum there are
17 various issues that I had to state on the basis of my
18 understanding. Just to say that, that it was in a
19 particular forum.

20
21 But in this particular forum, in relation to the
22 nature of the policy considerations and how they came
23 to the fore. While our main concern is in relation
24 to financial issues we would have a general concern
25 of broader issues affecting Government policy
26 considerations, societal issues, legal issues. We
27 would have an overall joined up view. We were
28 appreciative of the nature of Irish society over many
29 decades. The degrees of poverty that existed. The



1 nature and content of the Kennedy Report, which on
2 retrospective reading is a grim assessment of the
3 nature of the conditions there. We had knowledge
4 from various legal advices that we saw in relation to
5 the cases that were being taken.

6 19 Q. Yes.

7 A. The nature of the State's responsibility, such as it
8 was, and issues concerning whether other parties had
9 responsibility for this. So there were financial,
10 legal and human issues considered by us.

11 20 Q. Would it be fair to say that insofar as Finance was
12 concerned, perhaps, the most immediate considerations
13 from its point of view were financial implications?

14 A. Yes. Although I would emphasize though that when the
15 Taoiseach made his apology and the Government made
16 their decisions they didn't make a decision to
17 delimit in any way the overall level of compensation.
18 That was a matter that depended on the nature of the
19 abuse and the number of cases. No one could know
20 what that was so if the outcome of this whole process
21 was that awards totaling 1 million were to emerge
22 verses, whatever it is, 500 million, that would, you
23 know. The principle is the same but the degree and
24 widespread nature of the matters we are dealing with
25 is, obviously, much more serious where you have a
26 larger financial outlay. While the degree of the
27 financial outlay is something that we would be
28 concerned about, you know, the sort of figures you
29 are talking about here whatever might emerge, you



1 know. They are not going to undermine the financial
2 stability of the State. What they do underline is
3 the seriousness of the issues that the Commission has
4 wrapped in it.

5 21 Q. Did Finance appraise itself in relation to -- I don't
6 want to go into quantum or amounts, or indeed I don't
7 want to go into proportions between the congregations
8 and the Department. My question is focussed on did
9 Finance appraise itself on the extent to which
10 litigation was a problem or was emerging to be a
11 problem?

12 A. Well, we would have been appraised by the Department
13 of Education and the Attorney General's office of
14 that, yes.

15 22 Q. CHAIRMAN: That is the legal advice
16 you are talking about,
17 Mr. Doyle, you mentioned?

18 A. Yes.

19 23 Q. CHAIRMAN: Can I ask you, Mr. Doyle,
20 from what you have said
21 about the list of items that you said were present to
22 people's minds, I appreciate that you were not
23 personally dealing with this, but you said the nature
24 of Irish society, poverty, the Kennedy Report, legal
25 advice and the nature of the State's responsibility
26 and that the Kennedy Report furnished a grim
27 assessment. Am I understanding from that, that the
28 Department of Finance was taking the same view as the
29 Department of Education to the effect of accepting



1 the validity or the truth of the complaint of
2 widespread abuse? Just to help you my next question
3 will be the basis of that. I am just interested. I
4 am not saying whether it was right or whether it was
5 wrong because, obviously, there are bigger issues
6 that we have to decide. It is not a matter of
7 criticism or anything.

8
9 I am just wondering about the assessment. We know
10 from Minister Martin. He told us, yes, I accepted
11 this. I think we had the same from Mr. Boland. He
12 said, look, there was an official acceptance and so
13 on. I am just wondering was the Department of
14 Finance saying, look, we all know this or was it
15 saying, look, why do you say this was happening? If
16 you are satisfied we are satisfied. Do you see the
17 distinction I am drawing?

18 A. I am not sure that I am totally with you, Chairman.

19 24 Q. CHAIRMAN: I am getting the impression
20 from what you are saying;
21 nature of society, poverty, Kennedy Report, grim
22 assessment and so on and so forth. I am getting the
23 impression, which may be right or wrong and that is
24 why I am asking about it, that it was accepted in the
25 Department of Finance, or being accepted, or assumed
26 that the fact of abuse on a substantial, if not even
27 widespread scale, was being accepted?

28 A. Well, where I am not with you is in the latter part
29 of your question there. I mean, the widespread



1 nature. You know, is it ten? If it is ten it is too
2 many. Is it 100? Is it 1000? Is it 4000? We
3 didn't have that information. We knew that earlier
4 on there were hundreds and that the cases were
5 continuing to grow. I should add that we were not
6 incognizant of the fact that while the State was
7 accepting responsibility there were responsibilities
8 on other sides.

9 CHAIRMAN: Of course, and you have
10 made that clear, and that
11 was part of the negotiations. Thank you very much,
12 Mr. Doyle. Sorry, Mr. McMahon.

13 25 Q. MR. McMAHON: I think that amongst
14 Ms. Robinson's questions
15 was one dealing with the timing and manner in which
16 allegations of child abuse emerged as an issue in
17 respect of institutions under the management and
18 regulatory control of the body. Are you able to
19 assist the Committee in relation to that question?

20 A. I am afraid I am not, because the body is the body,
21 it is the Department of Finance and we were not
22 responsible for the management or regulatory control
23 of institutions at all. So any information that we
24 would have in relation to allegations of child abuse
25 would have been ones that emerged from our colleagues
26 in the Departments, and through the Attorney
27 General's office on foot of legal cases.

28
29 We had no executive responsibility in relation to



1 this sector. We had specific State agencies with
2 that responsibility who would have, if the system had
3 such knowledge, they would be the organisations that
4 would have them. I think we truthfully answered the
5 question with two words "not applicable". That I
6 firmly believe is the case.

7 26 Q. Yes.

8 MR. LOWE: May I ask one question?

9 Was there any consideration
10 given to the possibility that the institutions were
11 underfunded in the past and that is why funding had
12 to be made available now? Was the question of the
13 amount of funding in the past ever debated in looking
14 at this question?

15 A. Well, I will say two things about that, or maybe
16 three. First of all, the Kennedy Report made it
17 clear that in its view there was an inadequate
18 transfer of resources. Secondly, it was one of the
19 issues that we were cognizant of in the context of
20 the issue that myself and the Chairman had some
21 discussion about, the degrees of responsibility. So
22 that was an issue.

23
24 In relation to the actual facts as to what the
25 documents show I think separately the Commission has
26 a Discovery Order in place which is due to be
27 discharged at the end of this week, in relation to
28 all contacts in relation to financial matters since
29 1936. I don't know whether -- you know, we have an



1 army of people as we speak assembling those
2 documents. I have not seen the content of those so I
3 cannot comment at this stage. But we will be more
4 than happy when the documents are assembled and
5 produced to analyse them and make ourselves available
6 to the Commission if you wish in that context.

7 CHAIRMAN: It is likely that they will
8 be saying something about
9 this issue.

10 A. I think Mr. Dennehy and others have commented on what
11 the system knew or didn't know.

12 27 Q. MR. McMAHON: Mr. Dennehy, unfortunately,
13 has not been in a position
14 the comment and perhaps you are not in a position to
15 comment on it either. But I would wish to pose the
16 question in relation to evidence which was given
17 yesterday by Ms. McLoughlin from the Department of
18 Health when she indicated that in 1977 a memo was
19 circulated by her Department, the Department of
20 Health, in relation to non-accidental injury. This
21 was the first time that abuse against children was
22 identified, though not sexual abuse, physical abuse.

23
24 The evidence from Ms. McLoughlin was that the
25 Department of Finance was included in the list of
26 departments to whom that document was circulated.

27 A. I accept what you are saying. I have not read Ms.
28 McLoughlin's evidence. I have not seen the
29 memorandum. Was it a general circular setting out



1 their policy or a submission seeking resources?

2 28 Q. It was a memorandum dealing with identifying an
3 issue?

4 CHAIRMAN: It was not seeking
5 resources.

6 A. It was not seeking resources. Well, you know, the
7 Department of Finance gets from every Government
8 Department strings of policy statements and they are
9 banked as relevant in our knowledge base, to the
10 extent that the officials concerned at the time would
11 have considered them relevant. I have no knowledge
12 of that document but if you wish me to enquire in the
13 Department as to whether that document was relevant
14 and what our position was we can check out the papers
15 and give the Commission a note on that if you wish.

16 29 Q. MR. McMAHON: Yes, indeed.

17 MR. O' MOORE: Chairman, I do not want to
18 intervene excessively at
19 all in relation to this but it should be said that
20 this Department has made discovery separately for
21 this module of evidence.

22 CHAIRMAN: Yes, I appreciate that.

23 MR. O' MOORE: We have also made an
24 inquiry of the Committee's
25 solicitor as to the scope of that discovery and we
26 were assured that this inquiry relates, I think we
27 were told, the starting point of the lead up to the
28 Taoiseach's apology and Mr. Doyle has prepared his
29 evidence on that basis. I don't want him to appear



1 to be neglectful in his answers at all.

2 CHAIRMAN: No, no. I think what is
3 probably clear, if
4 Mr. Doyle will allow me, is that a circular is a
5 circular such as the sort of thing that we all get.
6 But if somebody is looking for money and you are the
7 Department of Finance it gives rise to a procedure,
8 because people have to think about it, people have to
9 work on it. Is this a good idea? Is this a bad
10 idea? How much will we commit and so on? I think
11 that is the distinction Mr. Doyle is making. That if
12 it is just a circular so be it. If it is a circular
13 we file it under circulars received.

14 MR. O' MOORE: That is why I haven't
15 intervened before Mr. Doyle
16 answered the question. In fairness to him it
17 shouldn't be taken that he has some degree of
18 removal...

19 CHAIRMAN: He has not been neglectful.

20 MR. O' MOORE: ...in relation to his
21 evidence.

22 CHAIRMAN: Certainly. I am sure that
23 Mr. McMahon didn't and
24 certainly I was not intending. As I say, that was my
25 understanding. We all get things of that kind and we
26 file them as relevant, or high priority, or low
27 priority. But it is a different story if there is
28 some specific action to be required on it.

29 MR. McMAHON: Indeed, I wouldn't want it



1 suggested that I was making
2 such suggestions in relation to Mr. Doyle. I
3 prefaced my question with the fact that it emerged in
4 the evidence which we only heard yesterday from
5 Ms. McLoughlin from the Department of Health.

6 CHAIRMAN: I take it, Mr. Doyle, that
7 would be the sort of thing
8 that the Department -- that is the way the Department
9 would deal with circulars received or policy
10 documents. They would be filed in the appropriate
11 place but they would not give rise necessarily to
12 action. That would happen if there was a request for
13 funds on some decision about funding?

14 A. You are right there, Chairman. I mean, if the
15 recipient was an understanding person they would
16 certainly -- if it was a circular they would
17 empathise with the challenges facing the Department
18 of Health. But if one wasn't being presented to us
19 for a decision we wouldn't respond. Notwithstanding
20 what our Counsellor is saying I am happy to see do
21 our records contain that. If there was a Financial
22 issue we would certainly let the Commission know
23 through the Chief State Solicitors.

24 30 Q. MR. McMAHON: That is appreciated.
25 Whilst doing so I probably
26 should, in fairness to you, draw your attention to
27 the fact that the guidelines were updated in 1980 and
28 again in 1983. In 1983 they were extended to include
29 injuries arising from sexual abuse. Perhaps that



1 might be viewed in the context of a grant from the
2 Department of Health to the Irish Council For Civil
3 Liberties, I think, in the sum of £25,000 towards a
4 working party on child sexual abuse. A grant of
5 £25,000 presumably, though from the Department of
6 Health, is that a matter which you would expect to
7 have come across the Department of Finance for
8 sanction? Is that something in respect of which you
9 would expect to find something in the Department of
10 Finance files?

11 A. Well, it would vary considerably from Department to
12 Department. In the case of this particular
13 Department the scale of the resources that are
14 deployed are so huge, even relatively in 1983, that
15 the vast bulk of what that Department was engaged in
16 would be operated by way of general delegated
17 authority. I would be surprised. But if you are
18 asking me a factual question; am I aware of that?
19 No.

20 CHAIRMAN: You would expect that would
21 be within their own
22 authority; wouldn't you?

23 A. Yes. In the context of the discovery that the
24 Commission has asked us to make, if it is relevant to
25 the work of the Commission and it was a matter that
26 came to the Department I would expect that it would
27 be amongst the discovered documents.

28 CHAIRMAN: I am a little conscious,
29 Mr. McMahon, that



1 Mr. O' Moore is entitled to be a little uneasy if we
2 are asking Mr. Doyle about things that if only we had
3 known we were asking him about them he would have
4 been delighted to find out about. It may be that in
5 the other material we are getting there may be a
6 reference to it, or we can chase them up. I am a
7 little reluctant. In general I would have thought
8 with a payment of that scale I would have thought
9 that was well within the Department of Education's
10 own. . . .

11 A. The Department of Health.

12 CHAIRMAN: The Department of Health's
13 own delegated authority.

14 A. It certainly would now. Well, twenty years ago I not
15 sure.

16 MR. McMAHON: This was 1983.

17 A. In any event the materiality of that to the
18 Commission's work is, you know. The principle of it
19 assisting organisations to investigate things, I
20 doubt if the Department would have had a negative
21 attitude if it had been asked. But then I don't
22 know.

23 CHAIRMAN: It probably doesn't make
24 much of a difference, Mr.
25 McMahon, whether they did or didn't get sanction for
26 it. The fact is they made the payment and from our
27 point of view they have told us that they were
28 beginning to be aware of these issues in the way that
29 they have described. So whether Finance knew it or



1 didn't know it, or even if Finance said that is not
2 something you should be spending money on it probably
3 doesn't make a whole lot of difference.

4 MR. McMAHON: I have no further
5 questions.

6

7

8 END OF EXAMINATION OF MR. DAVID DOYLE BY MR. McMAHON

9

10

11 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much,
12 Mr. Doyle.

13 A. Thank you, Chairman.

14

15

16 THE WITNESS THEN WITHDREW

17

18

19 CHAIRMAN: Mr. McMahon, tomorrow we
20 have Department of Justice;
21 is that right?

22 MR. McMAHON: Yes, that is correct.

23 CHAIRMAN: In the morning. Then we
24 have the institutions
25 coming in, in the afternoon; is that right?

26 MR. McMAHON: That's correct. The first
27 of which will, I think, be
28 the Rosmini Institute, which is listed for tomorrow
29 afternoon.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you very much.

THE HEARING WAS THEN ADJOURNED TO WEDNESDAY, 30TH
JUNE, 2004 AT 10:30 A.M.



1

1 - 13:21
100 - 16:2
1000 - 16:2
10:30 - 25:7
145-151 - 1:6
1936 - 17:29
1977 - 18:18
1980 - 21:27
1983 - 21:28, 22:14,
23:16

2

2001 - 6:3
2004 - 1:7, 4:2, 5:23,
25:7
20th - 5:22
29th - 1:7, 4:1

3

30th - 25:6

4

4000 - 16:2
4th - 2:21

5

5 - 1:7, 2:22
500 - 13:22

9

9 - 2:22
99.9% - 7:3

A

able - 16:18
Abuse - 1:3
abuse - 6:14, 9:5,
13:19, 15:2, 15:26,
16:16, 16:24, 18:21,
18:22, 21:29, 22:4
accept - 18:27
acceptance - 15:12
accepted - 11:5,
15:10, 15:24, 15:25,
15:27
accepting - 14:29,
16:7
accidental - 18:20
Accounts - 12:7
accurate - 1:29
action - 20:28,
21:12
actual - 8:22, 8:28,
11:17, 17:24
add - 16:5
Adjourned - 25:6
Adolescent - 1:18
advice - 14:15,
14:25
advices - 13:4
affair - 8:25
affecting - 12:25
affirm - 5:9
Affirmed - 5:14
afraid - 16:20
afternoon - 4:23,
4:25, 4:28, 24:25,
24:29
agencies - 17:1
ago - 23:14
agreed - 9:22

agreement - 10:2,
10:14, 10:24, 10:25,
11:1, 11:8
allegations - 16:16,
16:24
allocations - 10:12
allow - 20:4
amount - 17:13
amounts - 14:6
analyse - 18:5
answered - 17:4,
20:16
answers - 20:1
apology - 6:7, 6:20,
7:22, 8:9, 13:15,
19:28
appear - 19:29
appellant - 2:28
applicable - 17:5
appointed - 6:27
appraise - 14:5,
14:9
appraised - 14:12
appreciate - 6:29,
9:17, 14:22, 19:22
appreciated - 21:24
appreciative - 12:28
approach - 9:4
appropriate - 21:10
appropriately -
10:13
April - 6:3
arising - 21:29
army - 18:1
assembled - 4:29,
18:4
assembling - 18:1
assessment - 13:2,
14:27, 15:9, 15:22
assist - 16:19
assisting - 23:19
assume - 7:7
assumed - 15:25
assumption - 7:11
assumptions -
8:28, 9:6, 9:15, 9:19
assured - 19:26
attention - 21:26
attitude - 23:21
Attorney - 14:13,
16:26
authority - 22:17,
22:22, 23:13
available - 17:12,
18:5
awards - 13:21
aware - 5:27, 22:18,
23:28

B

bad - 8:24, 20:9
banked - 19:9
banker - 10:17
base - 19:9
basis - 12:17, 15:3,
19:29
begin - 4:20, 4:24
beginning - 23:28
behalf - 5:19, 10:13,
10:22, 12:13
between - 11:1,
14:7
bigger - 15:5
BI - 2:8, 2:15
body - 10:5, 16:18,
16:20
Boland - 15:11
Brendan - 2:4
bring - 7:3, 7:18

broader - 12:25
broadly - 11:19
bulk - 22:15
By - 2:28

C

Cabinet - 6:13, 6:18,
6:23, 6:26, 6:27, 7:3,
7:4, 7:9, 7:15, 7:17,
7:23, 7:25, 7:29, 9:9,
9:10, 10:10
cannot - 18:3
case - 17:6, 22:12
cases - 7:4, 13:5,
13:19, 16:4, 16:27
cash - 11:12, 11:20
Central - 11:15
Certainly - 20:22
certainly - 7:28,
9:22, 20:24, 21:16,
21:22, 23:14
certify - 1:28
Chairman - 4:5,
4:11, 4:18, 4:22, 4:26,
5:1, 5:2, 5:5, 5:8,
5:11, 6:22, 6:25, 6:29,
7:7, 7:12, 7:20, 8:2,
8:16, 9:14, 11:22,
11:24, 14:15, 14:19,
15:18, 15:19, 16:9,
17:20, 18:7, 19:4,
19:17, 19:22, 20:2,
20:19, 20:22, 21:6,
21:14, 22:20, 22:28,
23:12, 23:23, 24:11,
24:13, 24:19, 24:23,
25:2
Chairperson - 1:14
challenges - 21:17
channeled - 10:4
chase - 23:6
check - 19:14
Chief - 21:23
Child - 1:3, 1:18,
1:19
child - 16:16, 16:24,
22:4
children - 18:21
Church - 1:6
circular - 18:29,
20:4, 20:5, 20:12,
21:16
circulars - 20:13,
21:9
circulated - 7:6,
7:16, 18:19, 18:26
circumstances -
6:14
Civil - 22:2
Clarke - 2:7, 5:25
clear - 16:10, 17:17,
20:3
cognizant - 17:19
colleagues - 16:25
coming - 24:25
comment - 18:3,
18:14, 18:15
commented - 18:10
Commission - 1:3,
2:2, 2:6, 2:20, 4:26,
6:9, 6:12, 8:11, 14:3,
17:25, 18:6, 19:15,
21:22, 22:24, 22:25
Commission's -
23:18
commit - 20:10
committee - 12:14
Committee - 2:4,
5:21, 6:4, 6:15, 6:17,

11:29, 12:7, 12:9,
16:19
Committee's - 19:24
compensation -
13:17
complaining - 9:5
complaint - 15:1
concern - 12:23,
12:24
concerned - 8:18,
8:19, 9:9, 12:16,
13:12, 13:28, 19:10
concerning - 13:8
conclusions - 9:21
conditions - 13:3
confidentiality -
7:25
congregations -
4:21, 8:14, 10:20,
14:7
conscientious - 22:28
considerably -
22:11
consideration -
17:9
considerations -
11:28, 12:2, 12:22,
12:26, 13:12
considered - 13:10,
19:11
Consultant - 1:18
consulting - 4:8
contact - 11:1
contacts - 17:28
contain - 21:21
content - 13:1, 18:2
context - 8:7, 12:5,
17:19, 18:6, 22:1,
22:23
continuing - 16:5
contributed - 8:21,
8:22, 11:27
contribution - 8:14,
8:19, 9:16, 10:29,
11:12, 11:20
contributions -
11:4, 11:21
control - 16:18,
16:22
Copyright - 2:25
correct - 6:2, 24:22,
24:26
cost - 8:15, 12:15
Council - 22:2
Counsel - 2:6
Counselling - 11:14
Counsellor - 21:20
course - 5:11, 7:20,
16:9
criticism - 15:7

D

dated - 5:22
David - 2:14, 3:10,
5:4, 5:14, 24:8
deal - 5:20, 8:24,
8:25, 21:9
dealing - 13:24,
14:23, 16:15, 19:2
debated - 17:13
decades - 12:29
decide - 15:6
deciding - 7:23
decision - 6:8, 6:20,
8:9, 9:11, 13:16,
21:13, 21:19
decisions - 10:11,
13:16
degree - 9:23,

13:23, 13:26, 20:17
degrees - 12:29,
17:21
delegated - 22:16,
23:13
delighted - 23:4
delimit - 13:17
Dennehy - 9:24,
18:10, 18:12
Department - 2:13,
4:17, 5:20, 5:29, 6:17,
6:20, 6:24, 7:5, 7:10,
9:1, 9:12, 9:22, 10:1,
10:5, 10:9, 10:23,
10:28, 11:2, 11:6,
11:16, 11:21, 12:1,
14:8, 14:12, 14:28,
14:29, 15:13, 15:25,
16:21, 18:17, 18:19,
18:25, 19:7, 19:8,
19:13, 19:20, 20:7,
21:5, 21:8, 21:17,
22:2, 22:5, 22:7, 22:9,
22:11, 22:12, 22:13,
22:15, 22:26, 23:9,
23:11, 23:12, 23:20,
24:20
Department's - 6:5
departments -
18:26
Departments - 7:17,
16:26
depended - 13:18
deployed - 22:14
described - 23:29
designated - 10:13
detail - 5:24, 11:19
determined - 10:29
difference - 23:24,
24:3
different - 20:27
Direct - 3:11
directly - 9:9, 11:21
discharged - 17:27
discovered - 22:27
discovery - 19:20,
19:25, 22:23
Discovery - 17:26
discussion - 17:21
dispense - 8:9
distinction - 15:17,
20:11
document - 18:26,
19:12, 19:13
documentation -
7:16
documents - 7:4,
7:6, 7:18, 7:21, 7:23,
7:29, 12:12, 17:25,
18:2, 18:4, 21:10,
22:27
door - 4:8
doubt - 23:20
Doyle - 2:14, 3:10,
5:4, 5:6, 5:8, 5:14,
5:18, 6:5, 6:22, 14:17,
14:19, 16:12, 19:28,
20:4, 20:11, 20:15,
21:2, 21:6, 23:2, 24:8,
24:12
Dr - 1:18
draw - 21:26
drawing - 15:17
driven - 12:3
Dublin - 1:6, 2:23
due - 17:26
Dáil - 10:11

E



Education - 9:2, 10:7, 10:21, 10:28, 11:3, 11:16, 11:22, 14:13, 14:29
Education's - 23:9
effect - 14:29
either - 6:16, 18:15
elements - 11:11
elucidated - 9:11
emerge - 13:21, 13:29
emerged - 16:16, 16:25, 21:3
emerging - 14:10
empathise - 21:17
emphasize - 13:14
end - 17:27
End - 24:8
engaged - 22:15
enquire - 19:12
ensuring - 10:29
entitled - 23:1
envisaged - 11:9
establish - 8:10, 8:11
established - 6:13
event - 7:18, 23:17
evidence - 4:16, 4:20, 9:3, 18:16, 18:24, 18:28, 19:21, 19:29, 20:21, 21:4
Examination - 24:8
Examined - 5:14
excessively - 19:18
exchequer - 10:5
executive - 16:29
existed - 12:29
expect - 22:6, 22:9, 22:20, 22:26
explain - 11:7
explaining - 12:11
extended - 21:28
extent - 9:18, 14:9, 19:10
extracts - 12:6, 12:10

F

facilitate - 6:12
facing - 21:17
fact - 4:7, 15:26, 16:6, 21:3, 21:27, 23:26
facts - 17:24
factual - 9:6, 9:15, 9:18, 22:18
fair - 13:11
fairly - 10:27
fairness - 20:16, 21:26
familiar - 11:17
Feena - 2:10
Fergus - 2:8, 4:10
figures - 13:28
file - 20:13, 20:26
filed - 21:10
files - 22:10
Finance - 5:20, 6:1, 6:16, 6:24, 7:10, 7:13, 7:26, 7:28, 8:1, 8:2, 8:7, 8:12, 8:28, 9:6, 9:12, 10:1, 10:6, 10:9, 10:10, 10:12, 10:15, 10:21, 11:2, 12:2, 13:11, 14:5, 14:9, 14:28, 15:14, 15:25, 16:21, 18:25, 19:7, 20:7, 22:7, 22:10, 23:29, 24:1

Finance's - 11:6, 12:1, 12:3
financial - 8:4, 8:5, 8:14, 12:24, 13:9, 13:13, 13:26, 13:27, 14:1, 17:28
Financial - 21:21
firmly - 17:6
First - 6:6, 9:9, 17:16
first - 8:8, 18:21, 24:26
fist - 8:3
Floor - 2:21
focussed - 14:8
following - 1:28
Follows - 4:1, 5:15
foot - 7:29, 16:27
fore - 12:23
formed - 9:10
fort - 15:22
forum - 12:16, 12:19, 12:21
forward - 8:11
Frank - 2:7
Fred - 1:19
Frederick - 2:22
function - 8:23
Fund - 11:15, 11:27
funding - 17:11, 17:13, 21:13
funds - 21:13
furnished - 12:8, 14:26

G

general - 11:20, 12:24, 18:29, 22:16, 23:7
General's - 14:13, 16:27
Generals - 7:27
given - 9:3, 17:10, 18:16
goes...(interjection) - 7:2
Government - 6:13, 6:19, 8:8, 10:22, 12:25, 13:15, 19:7
Government's - 10:17
grant - 22:1, 22:4
grim - 13:2, 14:26, 15:21
grow - 16:5
guidelines - 21:27

H

happy - 18:4, 21:20
Health - 18:18, 18:20, 21:5, 21:18, 22:2, 22:6, 23:11
Health's - 23:12
heard - 21:4
Hearing - 4:1, 25:6
hearing - 1:30
Held - 1:6
help - 15:2
hereby - 1:28
high - 20:26
huge - 22:14
human - 13:10
hundreds - 16:4

I

idea - 20:9, 20:10
identified - 18:22
identifying - 19:2

Imelda - 1:18
immediate - 13:12
implementation - 10:24
implications - 7:14, 8:4, 8:5, 13:13
impression - 15:19, 15:23
inadequate - 17:17
include - 21:28
included - 18:25
incognizant - 16:6
Indeed - 20:29
indeed - 14:6, 19:16
indemnity - 10:14, 10:25, 12:15
Index - 3:6
indicated - 18:18
information - 16:3, 16:23
initial - 6:8
injuries - 21:29
injury - 18:20
Inquire - 1:3
inquiring - 7:24
inquiry - 19:24, 19:26
Inquiry - 1:14
insofar - 13:11
Insofar - 10:3
instances - 7:5
Institute - 24:28
institutions - 16:17, 16:23, 17:10, 24:24
Instructed - 2:10, 2:19
instruction - 7:15
intending - 20:24
intention - 4:19
interested - 7:17, 15:3
intervene - 19:18
intervened - 20:15
investigate - 23:19
investigation - 12:12
Investigation - 2:4, 5:21, 6:4, 12:9
involve - 6:16
involved - 8:12, 11:29
involvement - 6:6, 6:7, 6:11, 6:21, 11:7
Irish - 12:28, 14:24, 22:2
issue - 6:14, 8:10, 8:13, 9:16, 16:16, 17:20, 17:22, 18:9, 19:3, 21:22
issued - 11:15
issues - 12:17, 12:24, 12:25, 12:26, 13:8, 13:10, 14:3, 15:5, 17:19, 23:28
items - 14:21
itself - 9:10, 14:5, 14:9

J

joined - 10:15, 12:27
June - 1:7, 4:2, 25:7
Justice - 1:12, 2:13, 4:17, 24:20

K

Karen - 2:8
Kennedy - 9:22,

9:26, 13:1, 14:24, 14:26, 15:21, 17:16
kind - 20:25
knowledge - 13:3, 17:3, 19:9, 19:11
known - 23:3

L

larger - 13:26
last - 5:24
latter - 15:28
lead - 19:27
learn - 12:2
led - 6:19, 7:22
legal - 12:26, 13:4, 13:10, 14:15, 14:24, 16:27
letter - 5:22, 5:23
level - 13:17
liability - 8:29, 9:7, 9:8
Liberties - 22:3
likely - 18:7
Limitations - 8:10
limited - 10:27
list - 14:21, 18:25
listed - 24:28
litigation - 14:10
loaned - 2:27
look - 8:23, 15:12, 15:14, 15:15
looking - 17:13, 20:6
Looking - 8:27
low - 20:26
Lowe - 1:19, 17:8

M

main - 12:23
major - 8:5, 10:16
Malone - 2:25, 2:29
management - 16:17, 16:22
manner - 2:27, 16:15
Martin - 9:3, 9:25, 15:10
material - 23:5
materiality - 23:17
materials - 7:26
matter - 4:9, 5:7, 13:18, 15:6, 22:6, 22:25
matters - 13:24, 17:28
Mcloughlin - 18:17, 18:24, 21:5
Mcloughlin's - 18:28
Mcmahon - 2:6, 3:11, 4:6, 4:13, 4:15, 4:19, 4:24, 4:29, 5:4, 5:15, 5:18, 6:2, 6:10, 9:28, 11:25, 12:5, 16:12, 16:13, 18:12, 19:16, 20:23, 20:29, 21:24, 22:29, 23:16, 23:25, 24:4, 24:8, 24:19, 24:22, 24:26
mean - 15:29, 21:14
mechanical - 9:28, 10:3
mechanics - 11:7, 11:18, 11:23
meeting - 7:23, 7:25
Members - 1:16, 2:2
memo - 18:18
memorandum -

18:29, 19:2
mentioned - 11:28, 14:17
might - 11:4, 13:29, 22:1
million - 13:21, 13:22
minds - 14:22
Minister - 6:16, 7:17, 9:2, 9:25, 10:10, 10:12, 10:15, 10:16, 10:20, 10:21, 15:10
Ministers - 9:9
ministers - 10:22
mode - 9:13
module - 19:21
money - 11:8, 11:14, 20:6, 24:2
morning - 4:5, 4:6, 4:17, 4:22, 4:27, 5:5, 24:23
most - 13:12
must - 2:26

N

nature - 8:13, 9:19, 11:3, 12:13, 12:14, 12:15, 12:22, 12:28, 13:1, 13:3, 13:7, 13:18, 13:24, 14:23, 14:25, 15:21, 16:1
necessarily - 6:26, 21:11
necessary - 5:27
need - 9:26
negative - 23:20
neglectful - 20:1, 20:19
negotiated - 10:9
negotiations - 16:11
next - 4:7, 15:2
Noel - 2:6
non - 18:20
non-accidental - 18:20
none - 8:25
note - 11:18, 11:23, 19:15
notes - 1:29
Notwithstanding - 21:19
number - 13:19

O

O'moore - 2:14, 5:1, 5:7, 19:17, 19:23, 20:14, 20:20, 23:1
oath - 5:10
obviously - 7:12, 7:24, 8:5, 8:16, 13:25, 15:5
office - 14:13, 16:27
official - 10:13, 15:12
officials - 6:15, 19:10
one - 5:7, 13:19, 16:15, 17:8, 17:18, 21:18
ones - 16:25
opening - 5:25
operated - 22:16
Order - 17:26
Ordinary - 1:16
organisations - 17:3, 23:19
origin - 12:11



ourselves - 18:5
outcome - 13:20
outlay - 13:26,
13:27
outlining - 11:18
outside - 4:8
overall - 12:27,
13:17
own - 5:28, 22:21,
23:10, 23:13

P

papers - 6:11, 6:12,
7:28, 19:14
Parliament - 12:13
part - 10:23, 10:27,
15:28, 16:11
particular - 6:27,
12:12, 12:19, 12:21,
22:12
particularly - 9:20
parties - 10:20, 13:8
party - 2:28, 10:16,
10:19, 22:4
past - 17:11, 17:13
payment - 23:8,
23:26
payments - 10:4
people - 9:4, 18:1,
20:8
people's - 14:22
perhaps - 13:12,
18:14
Perhaps - 5:28,
21:29
permission - 2:29
person - 21:15
personal - 6:11
personally - 14:23
photocopied - 2:26
physical - 18:22
place - 17:26, 21:11
play - 10:23
point - 8:24, 8:27,
9:12, 12:3, 13:13,
19:27, 23:27
policies - 12:16
policy - 6:19, 8:9,
9:11, 11:28, 12:2,
12:22, 12:25, 19:1,
19:8, 21:9
pose - 18:15
position - 5:28,
18:13, 18:14, 19:14
possibility - 17:10
poverty - 12:29,
14:24, 15:21
Power - 2:15
precise - 11:19,
11:23
prefaced - 21:3
prefer - 5:9
prepared - 19:28
present - 10:10,
14:21
Present - 2:2
presented - 21:18
presumably - 22:5
presume - 4:9
Principal - 1:19
principle - 13:23,
23:18
priority - 20:26,
20:27
problem - 5:3, 5:11,
14:10, 14:11
procedure - 20:7
process - 13:20
produced - 6:18,

18:5
programme - 4:14
property - 11:5,
11:20
proportions - 14:7
proposals - 7:3,
7:22
propose - 5:25,
8:20
provisions - 10:8
Psychiatrist - 1:19
Psychologist - 1:19
Public - 12:6
public - 6:15

Q

quantum - 8:22,
14:6
questioning - 9:13,
12:14
questions - 5:21,
5:23, 5:26, 5:28,
16:14, 24:5
quoted - 9:25, 12:9

R

raised - 5:21
raises - 5:23
rather - 5:9
reach - 9:12
reached - 10:2
read - 18:27
reading - 13:2
really - 8:12
reasonable - 7:11
reasons - 9:29, 10:3
received - 20:13,
21:9
receives - 7:4
recipient - 21:15
records - 21:21
Redress - 8:12
Reference - 6:28
reference - 23:6
Registrar - 2:4
regulatory - 16:18,
16:22
Reidy - 2:4
relate - 9:19
relates - 19:26
relation - 6:5, 6:7,
6:8, 7:9, 8:4, 8:13,
8:29, 9:7, 11:3, 11:5,
11:26, 12:21, 12:23,
13:4, 14:5, 16:19,
16:24, 16:29, 17:24,
17:27, 17:28, 18:16,
18:20, 19:19, 20:20,
21:2
relatively - 22:14
relevant - 19:9,
19:11, 19:13, 20:26,
22:24
religious - 4:21
reluctant - 23:7
relying - 12:5, 12:8
removal - 20:18
report - 6:18, 7:27
Report - 9:22, 9:26,
13:1, 14:24, 14:26,
15:21, 17:16
reproduced - 2:27
request - 21:12
required - 20:28
resources - 17:18,
19:1, 19:5, 19:6,
22:13
respect - 16:17,

22:8
respond - 21:19
respondent - 2:28
responsibilities -
16:7
responsibility -
9:23, 10:28, 13:7,
13:9, 14:25, 16:7,
16:29, 17:2, 17:21
responsible - 16:22
Resumed - 4:1
retrospective -
9:21, 13:2
review - 6:14, 9:21
reviewed - 6:12
reviewing - 6:10
rise - 20:7, 21:11
Robinson - 2:10,
5:22
Robinson's - 16:14
room - 4:8
Rosminian - 24:28
Ryan - 1:12, 1:18

S

sanction - 22:8,
23:25
satisfied - 15:16
saw - 13:4
Sc - 2:6, 2:7, 2:14
scale - 9:16, 15:27,
22:13, 23:8
scheme - 12:14
Scheme - 8:12
scope - 19:25
Second - 5:29, 6:3
Secondly - 17:18
seconds - 4:10
Secretary - 5:29,
6:3, 7:27
sector - 17:1
secured - 11:1
see - 8:1, 8:22, 8:25,
15:16, 21:20
seeking - 19:1,
19:4, 19:6
senior - 6:15
sense - 10:14,
10:19, 10:27
separately - 17:25,
19:20
serious - 13:25
seriousness - 14:3
Services - 2:26,
2:29
services - 11:12
set - 5:24, 6:8, 6:15
setting - 18:29
settlements - 8:15,
10:16
sexual - 18:22,
21:29, 22:4
Seán - 1:12
shorthand - 1:29
show - 17:25
sides - 16:8
sign - 10:14
signatory - 10:1
sitting - 4:27
situation - 9:20
societal - 12:26
society - 12:28,
14:24, 15:21
solicitor - 19:25
Solicitors - 21:23
Sorry - 5:1, 16:12
sort - 13:28, 20:5,
21:7
South - 2:22

speaking - 10:7
specific - 11:12,
11:19, 17:1, 20:28
spending - 24:2
Spillane - 2:19
St - 2:22
stability - 14:2
stage - 18:3
standing - 7:15
starting - 19:27
state - 12:17
State - 8:29, 9:23,
11:27, 14:2, 16:6,
17:1, 21:23
State's - 8:27, 9:8,
13:7, 14:25
statement - 12:6,
12:8
statements - 19:8
Statute - 8:10
Stenography - 2:26,
2:29
story - 20:27
Street - 1:6
strictly - 10:7
strings - 19:8
Subcommittee -
6:13, 6:26
subcommittee -
6:19
submission - 19:1
substantial - 15:26
sufficiency - 8:19
suggested - 21:1
suggestions - 21:2
sum - 22:3
supplied - 2:27
surprised - 22:17
surrounding - 6:14
swear - 5:9
system - 17:2,
18:11

T

Taoiseach - 9:11,
13:15
Taoiseach's - 6:7,
19:28
Technically - 11:14
ten - 16:1
Terms - 6:27
terms - 11:4
three - 10:20, 17:16
timing - 16:15
tomorrow - 4:14,
4:28, 24:19, 24:28
Tomorrow - 4:15
totaling - 13:21
totally - 11:17,
15:18
towards - 8:14,
11:12, 22:3
traditionally - 10:15
transcript - 1:29
Transcripts - 2:25
transfer - 17:18
transpired - 9:24
true - 1:28
truth - 15:1
truthfully - 17:4
Tuesday - 1:7, 4:1
twenty - 23:14
two - 10:21, 11:11,
17:5, 17:15

U

ultimately - 10:2
under - 12:12,

16:17, 20:13
underfunded -
17:11
underline - 14:2
undermine - 14:1
uneasy - 23:1
unfortunately -
18:12
Unit - 2:20
up - 6:8, 6:15,
12:27, 19:27, 23:6
updated - 21:27

V

validity - 15:1
various - 5:20, 5:23,
11:28, 12:17, 13:4
vary - 22:11
vast - 22:15
vehicle - 10:8
verses - 13:22
view - 8:24, 8:27,
9:10, 9:11, 12:4,
12:27, 13:13, 14:28,
17:17, 23:27
viewed - 22:1
vote - 10:7
votes - 10:8

W

waiting - 4:12
Wednesday - 25:6
week - 5:24, 17:27
Whilst - 21:25
whole - 9:19, 13:20,
24:3
widespread - 13:24,
15:2, 15:27, 15:29
wish - 18:6, 18:15,
19:12, 19:15
Withdrew - 24:16
witness - 4:7
Witness - 24:16
wondering - 9:5,
15:9, 15:13
Woods - 9:25
words - 9:1, 17:5
wrapped - 14:4
written - 2:29

Y

years - 9:20, 9:24,
23:14
yesterday - 18:17,
21:4

f

£25,000 - 22:3, 22:5

